

AI & Authentic Assessment in a Digital Era

CONFERENCE PACK

July 2026

Session 1

AI Adoption Strategy

[Watch the session](#) | [View the session slides](#)

Where do the stats come from in the introduction slide?

The slides now include sources.

Does the governance and ethics committee look beyond the security of the model into how the tools are being used/created by the tech organisations and the ethical issues that are wrapped up in this (i.e. land/environment exploitation; labour exploitation; equity in access issues, etc.)? Makes me wonder if we can truly say any use is fully 'safe'.

The Governance and Ethics Committee does not specifically impact assess whether there are wider ethical issues specifically related to land/environment exploitation and labour exploitation. We currently use several criteria when assessing safety, compliance and ethical usage. A few examples of these are:

Data Governance

- What legal basis allows us to use the data, and is this documented properly?
- Do we know where the data comes from, how it's handled, and whether it's good enough to trust?

Transparency & Explainability

- How will we explain to people how the AI works and why it made a decision?
- Are those explanations clear and tailored to the audience (e.g. staff, customers, regulators)?

Human Oversight

- Can a trained person step in and override the AI if needed?
- Do we have a clear process to pause, reverse, or escalate decisions if something goes wrong?

Fairness & Bias

- Have we checked for unfair outcomes, and are we tracking fairness metrics?
- Have we considered how this affects different groups under the Equality Act?



Keep in touch

Kenrick Cabrera

Chief Technology Officer
Oxford International

[Connect on LinkedIn](#)

[Keep in touch via email](#)